

Declaration of [DECLARANT NAME]

Regarding the Web Accessibility of OTHERS_dalton_glasshouse_beachfront_arroyo_neu

I, _____, declare as follows:

1. **Background.** I am [qualifications / basis of expertise in digital accessibility and WCAG]. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and the evaluation described below, and if called as a witness could testify competently to these facts.
2. **Methodology.** The website was evaluated following the W3C Website Accessibility Conformance Evaluation Methodology (WCAG-EM 1.0) using three independent, industry-recognized testing engines — axe-core (Deque), HTML_CodeSniffer (via pa11y), and Google Lighthouse — run against the pages as rendered in Google Chrome on **20260702T210032Z** (UTC). Findings on which multiple engines agreed were recorded at higher confidence.
3. **Evidence preservation.** For each page, the rendered markup, the computed accessibility tree, full-page and per-defect screenshots, and the raw engine output were captured and cryptographically sealed (SHA-256 + Merkle root + trusted timestamp), such that any later alteration is detectable. The integrity of that evidence is attested in the accompanying Certificate of Evidence Integrity & Chain of Custody.
4. **Conformance findings.** The evaluation identified **22** distinct standards-mapped accessibility deficiencies across 5 page(s). Results by standard:

Standard	Deficiencies	Criteria failed	Result
WCAG 2.1 Level AA	22	6	Does not conform
WCAG 2.2 Level AA	22	6	Does not conform
Section 508 (Revised — incorporates WCAG 2.0 A/AA)	22	6	Does not conform

5. **Nature of the barriers.** The deficiencies include [e.g., links and controls without a programmatically determinable name (WCAG 4.1.2), insufficient text contrast (1.4.3), non-text content without a text alternative (1.1.1), controls below minimum target size (2.5.8)], each of which impedes users who rely on assistive technology such as screen readers, keyboard-only navigation, or magnification.
6. **Limitations.** Automated testing reliably evaluates only a subset of accessibility requirements; the figures above are therefore a floor, not a ceiling. Criteria requiring human evaluation were assessed through recorded keyboard-operability and screen-reader testing, described in the accompanying captures.
7. **Reproducibility.** The evaluation is reproducible from the preserved evidence and recorded tool versions; the sealed artifacts can be independently re-verified by any third party.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of _____ that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____ at _____.

Signature: _____

Printed name: _____

Counsel review required. This is a template. The declarant's qualifications, the characterization of barriers, the applicable legal standard (e.g., ADA Title III, 28 C.F.R. Part 36; the DOJ 2024 Title II rule adopting WCAG 2.1 AA; and controlling authority such as *Robles v. Domino's*, 9th Cir. 2019), and the standing/merits analysis must be confirmed by counsel. This tool and declaration support, and do not replace, a qualified expert.